

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PATRICIA ADKISSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

METROPOLITAN GROUP PROPERTY AND  
CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:13-cv-02173-JAD-NJK

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Parties' Proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (Docket No. 16). The Parties request a discovery period in excess of 180 days, but provide no reasons in support of their request. *See id.* at 1. Pursuant to Local Rule 26(1)(d), "if longer discovery deadlines are sought, [p]lans ... requesting special scheduling review shall include, in addition to the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 26-1(e), a statement of the reasons why longer or different time periods should apply to the case[.]" The Court hereby **ORDERS** the Parties and counsel to file a proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order in compliance with Local Rule 26(1)(d), no later than April 29, 2014.<sup>1</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 22, 2014.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup>

The Court also notes that the Parties twice refer to "FRCP 26(h)" a provision which does not exist. *See* Docket No. 16, at 2. Inaccurate citations should be removed from any future submissions to the Court.